



Ann-Margret



*“ My, oh, my, but dat
black fella sho can dance.”*

March 27, 2010

The Rev. David R. Graham

“Why fear when I am here?”

“I accept the burden of your welfare.”

“As each of you goes about your silent work, I will embrace you to my heart.”

Bhagavan Sri Sathya Sai Baba

“ M y , o h , m y , b u t d a t
b l a c k f e l l a s h o c a n d a n c e . ”

Dan Riehl

Was it **Plouffe** in the WH who put out the word for something like, "Attack with violent language, be radically abusive, impolite, bait, do anything needful to draw the opponents of the leader of the new world order [I won't write her name] into a trap, doing something we can deem an 'inappropriate' (or better, 'illegal') response."?

Just this week for the first time I can remember the f word is now in use on blogs and news aggregators on which it has never before appeared except as hyphenated.

The tyrannicals (left, demonic personalities) have gone to the back of the verbal wall not lastly but firstly.

There isn't a larger round they can use save mass arrests.

I estimate they calculate they haven't means to go to mass arrests, which in fact they *may* not, their calculation is not certain in their minds, so this show of demonic bellowing by them is part exhibitionism to screw up courage, part shriek for help and all bluff.

What compels my attention is that they feel compelled to go to their heaviest arty right off the bat. This is a sign of weakness, signaling they have insufficient ground combat maneuver forces to accomplish their designs (sufficient election fraud).

It means they lack mobility for rapid thrusts, targeted movements and instant exploitation via the life-and liberty-principle of *Sua Sponte*, and are reduced to frontal assault -- the most costly type of warfare and the least certain of success, especially if one's reservoir of warm bodies to throw forward is not limitless.

They're actually contemplating defeat, at least as they read their intel. Were I among them and charged with intel analysis, I would counsel they not yield to ephemeral feelings of frustration and just plow the ship forward confident that any opposition will get chewed up in its propellers or drowned in its wake.

But that's not what they're doing. They're sending out champions to beat air. This intrigues me

“ My, oh, my, but dat
black fella sho can dance.”

Instead of mass arrests -- which they should be doing -- they send pipsqueaks and dumbsquats forth to bellow challenges in pathetic imitation of Goliath, a true if stupid warrior, who for his assignment in bravado got done in at a distance he could not close in time by a stone-throwing shepherd's child.

This use of the heaviest, least maneuverable force they have intrigues me for its pathetic weakness. Frankly, I had not anticipated this development.

It compels me to re-triangulate this deadly, vicious, utterly vain civil war initiated, as its prefiguration was by their own Democratic ancestors in 1861, by slavers.

The Democratic Party in the USA always had been and always will be the party of slavery and overbearing, sanctimonious, private privilege.

Democrats, not Republicans, opposed civil rights legislation. A Republican, not a Democratic President made affirmative action, which in the interval since has been deformed by Democrats beyond recognition and original intent, enslaving BOTH "black" and "white" races. A Republican, not a Democratic President abolished slavery. The list is very long and very uniform. Big Government had a fine essay on this theme earlier this week.

"Blacks" who support the Democratic Party support it because they support slavery -- of "whites." They stupidly do not see they've been enslaved by appeal to their own base impulses.

The terms "black" and "white" when used to refer to persons are pure racism. Only racists use those terms. Non-racists use descriptive terms, such as human and demonic, or colloquially, "normal" and "Deliverance."

The tiger doesn't change its stripes, or the leopard its spots, regardless its fundamental skin color. Its fundamental nature is stalking and pouncing, always has been, always will be.

These cats in the White House are not tyrants classically speaking. They're ancestry is African and Ivy League looters, practically the same thing since the late 1960s. Government is not their goal. Ruling is their goal, but not ruling for civil order: ruling for looting.

Rule to them is simply the biggest possible opportunity for theft. Their goal is simply taking,

“ My, oh, my, but dat
black fella sho can dance.”

absorbing, spending, as in flits to New York, Paris, Copenhagen, Hawaii, spur of the moment and always with their pals in tow for shared stalking and feasting of prey, e.g., the tax payers they can force to pay their bills.

Such fun. Governing is never in the picture, never will be. Just consumption, eating, arugula and pancakes, now the world's most expensive staples and delicacies, with all the domestic trimmings one can imagine for oneself. Such fun.

There's not even a sense of deserving. Merely a sense of being able to do it, to gorge on whatever catches one's fancy: "I won." = "You done."

The cats in the White House are the fullest possible embodiment of concupiscence, which requires a constant posture of consumption by means of theft.

Concupiscence is the taking into oneself of the whole world. It is appetite of every kind devouring everything in sight. And never sated, always driving for more.

Some have noted the possibility that the cats in the White House will be there past 8 years. This is correct. They will be there for a hundred years or more, may 1000 Years. Who knows the limits of self-grandiosity or self-delusion? There are none. Well, so they fantasize, anyhow.

Now, cats are stealthy poachers. Observe the ones in the White House. They are stalkers. And they single-out targets from herds, terrifying in that way an entire herd, driving it to stampede rather than self-defense. Cats are very good hunters. The best in the world.

But when cats stalk humans, they are staking a creature possessing intelligence superior to their own, and therefore power. Humans are able to defend themselves against cats, so long as they think and act as humans rather than as animals, which cats are.

This is the reality human-looking cats do not perceive and cannot understand. Humans, drawing on their superior resources as humans, can take down cats, even when they are being stalked by them.

Cats are using the cover of the mechanisms of the Government of the USA to stalk and kill whatever prey their appetite demands at the moment, foreign or domestic.

*“ My, oh, my, but dat
black fella sho can dance.”*

The US Constitution does not apply to cats. Cats are a law unto themselves and fundamentally, unalterably wild.

Not since the days of Rama and Ravana has the world seen and felt evil this rampant. Good thing Rama's on-board.

This generation is privileged beyond almost all others to witness this monitory drama of struggle ("War is the father of all things." Heraclitus) by what is wrong against what is right.

War is initiated only by what is wrong. That which is right has no need of war because its power is intrinsically unshared and inalienable. Right has no second, as God does not.

When attacked, however, right is obliged to defeat its opponent with the utmost speed and the least loss and cost.

The wrong is hunting the right today, to devour it. It can be deactivated before it pounces.

Humans are not destined for defeat by devouring as long as they trust the power of their essential nature as humans.

Cats are animals, creatures of God but of mien inferior to humans.



Type to enter text

