

11AUG11 State-Sponsored Terrorism/War-Making Meet: Agenda And Discussion Notes

What is state-sponsored terrorism/war-making?

“Islamists” have been bought by Saudis and are in bed with them. Saudis have also bought quiet of their own people.

A new word or phrase is needed to replace “terrorism.” For example, clerics driving nation-states to their ends.

As China practices it: negative hegemonistic state-craft.

As Russia practices it: energy terrorism, criminal/mafia proliferation.

Do not delve into Africa. They are a client, land-locked, idiotic, only a resource for outside special interests, including USA.

Beyond that, the meet did not discuss this question directly.

Which states are conducting it?

Saudis, Russia, China (wants India unstable), USA/European “right-thinking” network of clerics/academics/bureaucrats/media, Iran, Pakistan, Syria, Venezuela, etc.

Middle Eastern regimes need USA/Europe to exist. They are dependent states bitching out/attacking their supports. USA/Europe engage in state-sponsored terrorism by supporting

Middle Eastern states that sponsor terrorism -- and AQ in Libya?

The USA/European internal security problem is the whole class of “right thinkers.” Muti-Culti is an ideology, political goal and program set for creating a client class (voters, cannon-fodder) for the network of “right-thinkers” (the clerical/academic/media/bureaucratic monopoly).

How are they conducting it?

Energy strong-arming. In lieu of mandating its agenda, the push-back from which it could not overcome, the “right-thinking” network appeals to “science,” which it corners. The network cannot mandate its agenda because it could not overcome the push-back. Thus the “creep” into command economy/social order.

Why are they conducting it?

USA/European “right thinking” network opposes the concept and the actuality of a nation-state because both are constructive, they are a something that make structures a network cannot control. The network of “right thinking” persons itself should be the sole controlling structure. “Deconstruction,” remove nation-states/laws, replace with whatever the “right-thinking” concur at the moment (which could as easily be one thing as another). “Deconstruction” gives opportunity for “new initiatives” which make jobs and money for deconstructionists. “Deconstruction” front-loads careerism, be popular, the “right-thinking” network carries you and your career.

The meet did not discuss directly why Saudis, China, Russia, Iran, etc., are conducting state-sponsored terrorism.

Where are they conducting it?

The meet did not discuss this question extensively. Note was made that China has built a port in Baluchistan and wants fossil fuel pipeline through Afghanistan and Pakistan from the Central Asian “stans.”

Why is USA clerical, academic, bureaucratic, media and political leadership ignoring it?

They engage in it and support foreign and domestic terrorists groups. Clerical, academic, bureaucratic, media and political leadership are from the same stock and move as a flock, a herd. They are tightly networked, vigorously self-promoting. Their system is meant to breed conformity. The conformity could be of any “political persuasion.” Today it is “d e c o n s t r u c t i o n i s t” / “p o s t - m o d e r n .” “Deconstruction”/”Multiculturalism” is state-sponsored terrorism when directed externally and sedition and treason when directed internally.

The “right-thinking” people aspect of USA leadership is the root cause. Republicans, even, want to be counted among them.

What will it take to get USA bureaucratic and political leadership to face it?

If they faced state-sponsored terrorism they would imply that the USA is a state, and big, and has moral standing, and

responsibility as a nation-state for its own security and that of others. This would undercut deconstructionist doctrine. Deconstructionist/“progressive” doctrine is that progressivism transcends nation-states and that the USA government is a tool to implement deconstructionist/“progressive” ideas, not a legitimate entity in and of itself. The USA/European network’s mission is to use the USA government to eliminate the USA as a nation state and emerge the network as global controlling agent. We see this logic and its course of action implemented by the progressive/deconstructionist network daily and with zeal. It’s all a big mess falling down, of course, but that’s not of concern to the network. The progressive vision of power is.

The meet did not directly propound an answer to this question. It implied one: on the one hand, the negativities of the progressive mess goad/foster/incite its end and successor, and on the other hand, the fundamental nature of the nation self-restores, self-reforms and self-persists.

What will it take to get USA bureaucratic and political leadership to defeat it?

This has to come from the public. Bureaucratic and political leadership will not defeat state-sponsored terrorism or terrorism at all. (DHS for example is reactive, always a war behind.) They will not defeat state-sponsored terrorism. The public has to gut the “right-thinkers,” the deconstructionists, and convince people (the public itself) to take care of themselves and the country. This requires the public to gain self-confidence, something that does in fact appear to be happening.

Self-confidence is going up because people are desperate and angry and have means, including especially communication. This enables drastic surgery by political will to cut USA programs, get rid of departments and agencies, not just reduce their budgets. Deconstruct deconstructionists' self-serving initiatives.

People opposed to the agenda of the "right-thinking" network are the least likely to get involved. They are living their lives.

The USA Constitution is a rallying point.

Tie foreign policy to economics as citizens face it in their daily lives. This creates a rallying point.

What is the backstop against the network's deconstruction/multiculturalism? What wall does it hit? Existence itself and specifically the existence of people who oppose deconstruction of the USA. Ultimately it is a question of ideas. One side will win by annihilating the other side, which will thereby lose. One side is defeated. One side wins. Neither compromise nor discussion is possible between fundamentally opposed intellectual/moral frameworks.

No part of the deconstructionist frame of reference can be removed or even negotiated without the entire framework falling apart. Remove any piece or examine it and the structure collapses. Its internals are neither consistent nor redundant and in consequence it can neither sustain nor evolve itself. Therefore, deconstructionism/progressivism cannot negotiate. It is an all-or-nothing system obliged to expand or defend itself as such.

Today you have to be in the system and change it from within. The “right-thinking” network may not have that much longer to last.

Kids and adults in USA today are massively drugged with anti-depressants, not to mention the “illegal” psychotropics. Kids today get apathetic or revolutionary/anarchist: “medicinal apathetic anarchic” describes today’s USA kids.

Notes by David R. Graham and Approved by Other Participants
wp650385@yahoo.com